

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/815,805	04/02/2004	Chun Pyo Hong	1751-357	9344
6449 75	590 06/27/2006		EXAM	INER
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			LIN, ING HOUR	
1425 K STREE	CT, N.W.			
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1725	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/27/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		th.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,805	HONG, CHUN PYO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Ing-Hour Lin	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the solution of the sol	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	pril 2004 and 28 July 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	red.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/05. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-2, 7-8, 10-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709.

Lombard et al (col. 8, lines 16+, Fig. 19) teach the claimed rheoforming apparatus, including a first sleeve (shot sleeve 300) for releasing semi-solid slurry B; a pivotable and flared second sleeve (forming vessel 202), a first plunger 212, 230; thermal jacket 204 with temperature control unit installed around the second sleeve (forming vessel 202); a stirring unit (electromagnetic stator 206), wherein the thermal jacket 204 and the stirring unit (electromagnetic stator 206) move together with the second sleeve (forming vessel 202) for the purpose of stirring the molten metal in the second sleeve and controlling the temperature and

Art Unit: 1725

non-dendritic and solid fraction of semi-solid metallic slurry in the second sleeve and coupling the first sleeve and transporting the slurry for rheoforming.

Lombard et al fail to teach the use of a sealing member or stopper. However, WO '709 (page 5, lines 7+) teaches the use of a sealing member or stopper (cutoff valve 9) or disc 25 in thixotropic casting for the purpose of effectively opening or closing the end of the second sleeve (forming vessel 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Lombard et al the use of a sealing member or stopper (cutoff valve 9) or disc 25 in thixotropic casting as taught by Kelly et al in order to control the flow of the slurry through the end of the second sleeve and effectively transport the slurry into the first sleeve for rheoforming.

Regarding to claim 7, Lombard et al in view of WO '709 fails to teach the use a temperature control unit installed around the first sleeve. However, the use of temperature control unit installed around the first sleeve such as the one coupled with the thermal jacket 204 installed around the first sleeve have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art for the purpose of further adjusting and controlling slurry temperature.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 and further in view of Carden et al.

Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 fails to teach the use a forming unit. However, Carden et al (col. 4, lines 45+ and Fig. 7) teach the use of a forming unit including billet die 56 and saw 60 for the purpose of forming a predetermined billet 1. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 the use of a forming unit as taught by Carden et al in order to effectively form a predetermined billet.

Art Unit: 1725

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709and further in view of Carden et al and Klotzbicher et al.

Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 and further in view of Carden et al fails to teach the use a forming unit comprising a transfer roller and a cooler. However, Klotzbicher et al (col. 3, lines 11+) teach the use of a forming unit comprising a transfer roller (roller track13) and a cooler (cooling or quenching facility 14) for the purpose of transferring and cooling the formed billets. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 and further in view of Carden et al the use of a forming unit comprising a transfer roller and a cooler as taught by Klotzbicher et al in order to effectively form, transfer and cool the formed billet.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709and further in view of Carden et al and Collot et al.

Lombard et al in view of Kelly et al and further in view of Carden et al fails to teach the use a forming unit comprising a press die. However, Collot et al (col. 3, lines 11+) teach the use of a press die 16 for the purpose of press forming a predetermined product. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 and further in view of Carden et al the use of a forming unit comprising a press die as taught by Collot et al in order to effectively press and form a predetermined product.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 and further in view of Clark.

Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 fails to teach the use of a forming die. However, Clark (col. 5, lines 19+) teaches either the use of a forming die having a die cavity 123 formed

Art Unit: 1725

by die parts 121 and 126 for the purpose of effectively casting a predetermined product. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 the use of a forming die as taught by Clark in order to effectively cast a predetermined product.

8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 and further in view of Wang.

Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 fails to teach the use of a non-magnetic material for the second sleeve. However, Wang (col. 5, lines 4+) teaches the use of a non-magnetic material for the second sleeve for the purpose of effectively ensuring the efficiency of electromagnetic field in the stirring slurry process. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 the use of a non-magnetic material for the second sleeve as taught by Wang in order to effectively stir slurry in the sleeve.

9. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 and further in view of Peleschka et al.

Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 fails to teach the use of branching the second sleeve from the first sleeve inserted with a second plunger. However, Peleschka et al (page 3, paragraph 35+) teach the use of branching the second sleeve (transport chamber 8) from the first sleeve (casting chamber 7) inserted with a second plunger (casting plunger 11) in the first sleeve for the purpose of effectively die casting the slurry into a predetermined product. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Lombard et al in view of WO

Art Unit: 1725

9712709 the use of branching the second sleeve from the first sleeve inserted with a second plunger as taught by Peleschka et al in order to die cast the slurry into a predetermined product.

10. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over aither Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 and further in view of Aoyama et al and Fleming et al.

Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 fails to teach the use of a time control unit for starting and stopping the stirring unit in terms of the pouring of molten metal and crystalline nuclei formation.

However, Aoyama et al (col. 4, lines 32+) teach the use of applying EM field (motion exerted on the melted metal) concurrently loading the molten metal to the slurry sleeve (slurry container 1) when a portion of the melted metal reaches a temperature below the liquidus temperature and cooling the melted metal at a cooling rate of 3 °C/sec or less, preferably 0.4 ^oC/sec or less for generating homogeneous crystal nuclei and preventing occurrence of dendrite for the purpose of controlling the fine-grained equiaxed semi-solid slurry and reducing slurry cycling time. Further, Fleming et al (col. 4, lines 46+) teach the use of stopping EM field (agitation) to the vessel after some solid fraction of 0.01-0.2 by weight has formed in the molten metal through rapid cooling with a cooling rate in claimed range 0.2-5.0 °C/sec (see Fig. 1b) for the purpose of effectively controlling the fine-grained equiaxed semi-solid slurry (casting) of solid fraction 10-50% (col. 5, lines 6+) by weight and primary particle size between 1-1000 microns (col. 6, lines 11+) by controlled cooling. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Lombard et al in view of WO 9712709 the use of applying EM field concurrently loading the molten metal to the slurry sleeve (slurry container 1) and the use of stopping EM field (agitation) to the vessel after some solid fraction of 0.01-0.2 by weight has

Page 7

Art Unit: 1725

formed through controlled cooling as taught by Aoyama et al and Fleming et al in order to

effectively controlling the fine-grained equiaxed semi-solid slurry (casting).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ing-Hour Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1180. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

8 Hd

I.-H. Lin

6-16-06

KEVIN KERNS FEVENTUM 6/22/06
PRIMARY EXAMINER